

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	10/758,593		
Filing Date	January 16, 2004		
First Named Inventor	Ryuji NISHIKAWA		
Art Unit	2879		
Examiner Name	E. A. Rielley		
Attorney Docket Number	606402015800		

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)					
Fee Transi	mittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to TC	
Fee .	Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
x Amendme	nt/Reply	Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After	Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information	
Affid	avits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addre	ess [Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund	F	Return Receipt Postcard	
Information Disclosure Statement		CD, Number of CD(s)	_		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Landscape Table on CD			
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Remarks			
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm Name	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP				
Signature A Holich					
Printed name	Brian N. Fletcher				
Date	June 22, 2006	Reg	. No. 5	51,683	



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Ryuji NISHIKAWA et al.

Serial No.:

10/758,593

Filing Date:

January 16, 2004

For:

ELECTROLUMINESCENT DISPLAY

DEVICE

Examiner: Elizabeth A. Rielley

Group Art Unit: 2879

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Action dated March 23, 2006, applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application in light of the following remarks

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 2 are pending in this application.

The Examiner stated that the information disclosure statement (IDS) filed "12/28/05" failed to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it allegedly does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information that is not in the English language. The Examiner further stated that the information had been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein had not been considered.

Applicants are unaware of any IDS filed on December 28, 2005, but for purposes of this response will assume that the Examiner is referring to the IDS filed on February 6, 2006. Based